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#### Abstract

Qualitative properties of typical rotations of a heavy solid body are analized in the case of the Goriachev-Chaplygin problemin which the first integrals of equations of motion are independent. Gyration numbers of tangent vector fields on two-dimensional invariant tori are determined. It is shown that the nutation of a solid body is a quasi-periodic motion, and spin and precession have a principal motion. If the gyration number is irrational, then in the case of a solidbody the principal motion of node lines is zero.


In the case of the Goriachev-Chaplygin problem of motion of a heavy solid body with a fixed point the principal moments of inertia satisfy the relation $A=B=4 C$ and the center of mass lies in the equatorial plane of the ellipsoid of inertia. Initial conditions are selected so that the constant of the area integral is zero. There exists then a partim cular supplementary integral, and this makes it possible to reduce the integration of the equations of motion to quadratures [1].

A qualitative investigation of the motion of the Goriachev-Chaplygin top was initiated by Sretenskii [2] who introduced in the equations of motion a small parameter related to the fast gyrations of the body, and outlined the pattern of motion in the first approximation with respect to that parameter. These investigations were continued in [3]. An analysis of the special variables introduced by Chaplygin for integrating the equations of motion is presented in [4]. The qualitative pattern of body gyration in some degenerate cases is investigated in [5].

Certain mathematical problems related to the analysis of the motion of a body in the Goriachev-Chaplygin problem are considered below without any simplifying assumptions.

1. Dynamic bystemi originating on invariant tori of the Goria-chev-Chaplygin problem. For the symmetry of formulas we denote everywhere the Euler-Poisson variables $p, q, r, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ by $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{0}$, respectively. In the Goriachev-Chaplygin problem the Euler-Poisson equations have four independent integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=4\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+x_{3}{ }^{2}-2 \mu x_{4}, \quad I_{2}=x_{3}\left(x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\mu x_{1} x_{6} \\
& I_{3}=4\left(x_{1} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}\right)+x_{3} x_{6} \quad\left(I_{3}=0\right), \quad I_{4}=x_{4}^{2}+x_{5}^{2}+x_{6}^{2} \\
& \left(I_{4}=1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\mu=\operatorname{Pr} / C, P$ is the body weight, $r$ is the distance between the center of mass and the suspension point, and $C$ is the moment of inertia about the dynamic symm metry axis.

We denote by $E\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ the common levels of the four integrals (1.1) in the sixdimensional space of the Euler-Poisson equations. Below we consider only such constants of integrals $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ for which functions (1.1) are independent at $E\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$. The case
in which $I_{1}=0$ is a specifically excluded. The remaining constants constitute a null set. If the integrals (1.1) are independent, $E$ is a smooth two-dimensional manifold. A classical dynamic system obviously arises at $E$ [6]. Such systems can be investigated by the method described in [7].

Each connected component of $E$ is a two dimensional torus [7]. The question arises of the number of connected components of $E$. A partial answer is provided by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $\mu$ is small, $E$ is a union of two tori,
Proof. First, we assume $\mu=0$. Then the common levels of functions $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ represent in the three-dimensional space $R^{3}\left\{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right\}$ two circles $S_{i}{ }^{1}(i=1,2)$ that lie in different planes $x_{3}=$ const. To each point $\left\{x_{1}{ }^{\circ} x_{2}{ }^{\circ} x_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right\}$ on $S_{i}{ }^{1}(i-1,2)$ corresponds a circle cut out in the Poisson sphere $\left\{x_{4}{ }^{2}+x_{5}{ }^{2}+x_{8}{ }^{2}=1\right\}$ by the area integral

$$
4\left(x_{1}{ }^{\circ} x_{4}+x_{2}{ }^{\circ} x_{6}\right)+x_{3}{ }^{\circ} x_{6}=0
$$

Since the position of that circle continuously depends on point $\left\{x_{1}{ }^{\circ} x_{2}{ }^{\circ} x_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right\}$, the manifold $E$ consists of two connected components when $\mu=0$. If $\mu \neq 0$ but is small, then, by the Morse theorem the common levels are diffeomorphic to the level at $\mu=0$ and, consequently, have as many connectedness components [8].

Note. If we increase $\mu$, then, by the same Morse theorem, the number of connected components can change only then, when integrals (1.1) become interdependent.

On each two-dimensional invariant torus $T^{2}$ we can select angle variables $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi$ in which the equations of motion are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{*}=\omega_{1}, \quad \varphi_{2}^{*}=\omega_{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{i}(i=1,2)$ are constants independent on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. Equations (1.2) specify on $T^{2}$ a conditionally periodic motion at two frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$. To determine these we introduce the variables $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ by formulas

$$
x_{3}=s_{1}+s_{2}, \quad 4\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)=-s_{1} s_{2}
$$

Note that variables $s_{1}$ and $-s_{2}$ were introduced by Chaplygin for integrating the equations of motion [1]. The Euler-Poisson variables can be expressed in terms of $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ with the use of integrals (1.1). In the new variables the equations of motion assume the form (cf. [1])

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{1}^{*}=\frac{\sqrt{\Phi\left(s_{1}\right)}}{2\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}, \quad s_{2}^{*}=\frac{\sqrt{\Phi\left(s_{2}\right)}}{2\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}  \tag{1,3}\\
& \left(\Phi(z)=4 \mu^{2} z^{2}-\left(z^{3}-I_{1^{2}} z-4 I_{2}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (1.3) are of the same form as in the Kowalewska problem [7]. Hence the results obtained there are valid for these equations. The variables $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ vary in the intervals $\left[a_{1} b_{1}\right]$ and $\left[a_{2} b_{3}\right]$, where the polynomial $\Phi(z) \geqslant 0$. If $I_{2} \neq 0$, the intersection $\left[a_{1} b_{1}\right] \cap\left\lfloor a_{2} b_{2}\right]$ is empty. In the opposite case variables $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ may be the same, and since $s_{1} s_{2} \leqslant 0, s_{1}=s_{2}=0$ on $T^{2}$ for certain initial data. Consequently, $x_{1}-x_{2}=x_{3}=0$ and $I_{2}=0$. A detailed qualitative analysis of the behavior of variables $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ is given in [4,7].

The numbers $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}(i=1,2)$ are simple roots of polynomial $\Phi(z)$, since otherwise asymptotic motions would exist on the related invariant torus, which is impossible because of the assumption of the independence of integrals (1.1).

We introduce angle variables $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi$ by formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{\tau_{i}} \int_{\alpha_{i}}^{s_{i}} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{\Phi(s)}}, \quad \tau_{i}=\int_{a_{i}}^{u_{i}} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{\Phi(s)}}, \quad s_{i} \in\left[a_{i} b_{i}\right] \quad(i=1,2) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In new variables Eqs. (1.3) assume the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}^{\cdot}-\frac{\pi}{2 \boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}\left[s_{1}\left(\psi_{1}\right)-s_{2}\left(\psi_{2}\right)\right]} \quad(i=1,2) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}(z)$ are real hyperelliptic functions of period $2 \pi$ which are determined by (1.4). The inverse substitution $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ reduces Eqs. (1.5) to the form [7]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{i}^{\cdot}=\frac{\pi}{2 \tau_{i} \Lambda} \quad(i=1,2)  \tag{1.6}\\
& \Lambda=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} s_{1}(x) d x-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} s_{2}(x) d x\right) \quad(\Lambda \neq 0)
\end{align*}
$$

These equations determine the conditionally periodic motion on $T^{2}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi\right\}$. The ratio of frequencies (the gyration number) is $\gamma=\tau_{1} / \tau_{2}$ which evidently depends on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. That function is not constant at least for small values of parameter $\mu$.
2. The eigenrotation problem. Let us investigate the motion of the body in terms of Euler angles $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, \varphi$ and $\psi$. Obviously $x_{1}, x_{9}, \ldots, x_{6}$ are conditionally periodic functions of time. Since $\cos \vartheta=x_{6}$ and $0 \leqslant \vartheta \leqslant \pi$, function $\vartheta(t)$ is also conditionally periodic.

Lemma 2. If at the initial instant of time $I_{1} \mu^{2}<4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{6}(t)\right|<1-\varepsilon \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\left|x_{6}\right|=1$, then $x_{3}=x_{4}=x_{5}=0$. Integrals (1.1) yield the equalities $x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}$ $I_{1} / 4$ and $\left|x_{1}\right|=\left|I_{2} / \mu\right|(\mu \neq 0)$. Hence $x_{2}{ }^{2}=I_{1} / 4-I_{2}^{2} / \mu^{2}$. Consequently, if at some instant of time the equality $\left|x_{6}\right|=-1$ is satisfied at $E$, then $I_{1} \mu^{2} \geqslant 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$. Since set $E$ is compact, inequality (2.1) is valid under conditions of the lemma for some $\varepsilon>0$.

Note. If $I_{1} \mu^{2} \geqslant 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, the dynamic symmetry axis is vertical for some initial data that satisfy this inequality.

We shall use the following terminology of celestial mechanics [9, 10]. The mean motion of quantity $\xi(t)$ is $\lambda=$ const, if for all $t$

$$
\xi(t)=\lambda t+O(1)
$$

If $\xi(t)=\lambda t+o(t)$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, i. e.

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(t)-\lambda t}{t}=0
$$

the principal motion of the quantity $\xi(t)$ is $\lambda$.
Statement 1. If conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied, the spin has an average motion.
Proof. Since $1-x_{8}{ }^{2}>\varepsilon>0$ for all $t$, hence

$$
e^{i \varphi}=\frac{x_{5}+i x_{4}}{\sqrt{1-x_{6}^{2}}}
$$

is a two-frequency conditionally periodic function of time. By the Bohl theorem about
the argument [11]

$$
\varphi=\left(m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2}\right) t+f(t)
$$

where $m$, and $n$ are integers and $f$ is a conditionally periodic function of $t$. Hence $\varphi=$ $\lambda_{t}+O$ (1)

If at some instant of time $t=t^{\prime}$ the equality $x_{6}{ }^{2}=1$ is satisfied, then angle $\varphi$ is not formally determined. In such case we proceed as follows. We know that

$$
\varphi^{*}=x_{3}-x_{6} \frac{x_{1} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}}{1-x_{8}^{2}}=\frac{x_{3}\left(4-3 x_{8}^{2}\right)}{4\left(1-x_{6}^{2}\right)}
$$

Using the 1 Hospital rule for expanding the indeterminate form for $I_{1} \neq 0$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t^{+}} \varphi^{\cdot}=\frac{I_{2}}{2 I_{1}}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow t^{\prime}-0} \varphi(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t^{\prime}+0} \varphi(t)=\varphi^{\prime}
$$

It is reasonable to assume on the basis of the last equality that $\varphi\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}$. Function $\varphi(t)$ is then determinate and continuous for all $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$.

This reasoning shows the expediency of analyzing spin even then, when the axis of symmetry can assume a vertical position.

Theorem 1. Let $I_{1} \neq 0$ and $I_{1} \mu^{2} \neq 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$. If for given constants of integrals $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are commensurable, the spin has an average motion. If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are incommensurable, the spin has a principal motion that depends only on $I_{1}$ and $1_{2}$.

Proof. Statement 1 implies that it is sufficient to consider the case when $I_{1} \mu^{2}>$ $4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$. If the ratio $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is rational, then $\varphi^{*}$ is a continuous periodic function of time (at points where $x_{6}{ }^{2}=1$, function $\varphi$ is assumed to be equal $Y_{2} / 2 I_{1}$ ). Hence in that case $\varphi=\lambda t+O(1)$.

Let us assume now that the ratio $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is irrational and consider on $T^{2}\left\{\varphi_{1}\right.$, $\left.\varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi\right\}$ the circle $S^{1}=\left\{\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) \in T^{2}: \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}\right\}$. On $S^{1}$ the variable $\varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi$ is an angle variable. Let us determine on the direct product $S^{1} \times[0$, $\left.2 \pi / \omega_{1}\right]$ the function

$$
F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \Phi\left(\omega_{1} \tau+\varphi_{1}{ }^{0}, \omega_{2} \tau+\varphi_{2}\right) d \tau, \quad \varphi_{2} \in S^{1}, \quad t \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{1}}\right]
$$

where $\varphi^{*}=\Phi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$. It is clear that $f\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=F\left(\varphi_{2}, 2 \pi / \omega_{1}\right)$ defines the variation of angle $\varphi$ during the time taken by a point on $T^{2}$ moving along an irrational winding from point $\left(\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \varphi_{2}\right) \in S^{1}$, to return to $S^{1}$.

Let us prove that $f\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is Riemann integrable.
If $f\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is discontinuous at point $\varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, the trajectory $\left(\omega_{1} t+\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \omega_{2} t+\right.$ $\varphi_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ ), $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 2 \pi / \omega_{1}$ passes through points on $T^{2}$ where $x_{0}{ }^{2}=1$. Since there are four such points, $f\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ can have only a finite number of discontinuity points. It is therefore sufficient to prove the boundedness of that function.

Let us prove that $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ is bounded on $S^{1} \times\left[0,2 \pi / \omega_{1}\right]$.
For this we consider the behavior of angle $\varphi$ when point $m(t)=\left(\omega_{1} t+\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \omega_{2} t+\right.$
$\varphi_{2}$ ) lies close to points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}$, where $x_{6}{ }^{2}=1$. Since $I_{1} \mu^{2} \neq 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, hence the Jacobian

$$
\frac{\partial\left(I_{1} I_{2} I_{3} I_{4}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{6}\right)}
$$

is nonzero at points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4} \in T^{2}$. It is, consequently, possible to take in the small neighborhoods of these points the variables $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ as the local coordinates on $T^{2}$, and
the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{6}$ as single-valued analytic functions of $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$.
Let us consider the differential cquations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{4}^{*}=x_{3} x_{5}-x_{2} x_{6}, \quad x_{5}^{*}=x_{1} x_{6}-x_{3} x_{4} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{6}$ are replaced by their expressions in terms of $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$. Since $I_{1} \neq 0$, Eqs. (2.2) do not have singular points close to $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$.

There exist fairly small neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of points $a_{i}$ in which the oscillation of function $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ does not exceed $2 \pi$ when $m(t) \in U_{i}$.

This is so, since when $m$ moves along the trajecto-


Fig. 1 ries of Eqs. (2.2), $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ coincides with angle $\varphi$ shown in Fig. 1. The trajectory $\Gamma$ which passes through point $x_{4}=x_{5}=0$ divides $U_{i}=U$ into two parts in each of which $\varphi$ varies continuously and has a discontinuity of $\pi$-magnitude when passing through $\Gamma$. The oscillation of $\varphi$ is, however, bounded by the number $2 \pi$, since in the small neighborhood $U$ the trajectories of Eqs. (2.2) are very nearly straight.

In addition to $U_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ function $1-$ $x_{6}{ }^{2}>\varepsilon>0$ and, consequently, function $\Phi\left(\varphi_{1}\right.$, $\left.\varphi_{2}\right)$ and the oscillation of function $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ are bounded. Summarizing the above, we conclude that $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ is bounded in $S^{1} \times\left[0,2 \pi / \omega_{1}\right]$.

During the time $t=n 2 \pi / \omega_{1}$ angle $\varphi$ becomes

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(k 2 \pi \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)=\sigma_{n}
$$

Since $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ is irrational, in accordance with Weyl's theorem on uniform distribution we have [12]

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}}{n}=\lambda
$$

The boundedness of function $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ implies that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}=\frac{12 \pi \lambda}{\omega_{1}}=\Lambda
$$

By the same Weyl's theorem the number $\Lambda$ depends only on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. The theorem is proved.

The idea of the proof of this theorem stems from Weyl's investigations of the average motion of planet perihelions [10].
3. The problem of motion of the line of nodes. The precession angle is determined by the following formula:

$$
\psi^{*}=\frac{x_{1} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}}{1-x_{8}^{2}}=-\frac{x_{3} x_{6}}{4\left(1-x_{8}^{2}\right)}
$$

If the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, $\psi^{*}=\Psi$ is an analytic function of uniformly varying variables $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$. In other cases $\Psi$ has a singularity $T^{2}$ at points where $x_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}=1$. If $x_{n}{ }^{2}=1$ at $t=t^{\prime}$, then by expanding the indeterminacy of the l'Hospital rule, for $I_{1} \neq 0$ we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t^{+}} \Psi(t)=\mp \frac{I_{2}}{2 I_{1}} \quad\left(x_{0}(t) \rightarrow \pm 1\right)
$$

Theorem 2. Let $I_{1} \neq 0$ and $I_{1} \mu^{2} \neq 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$. If frequencies $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are commensurable, the line of nodes has an average motion. If, however, they are incommensurable, the line of nodes has a principal motion which depends only on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$.

Proof. If the ratio of frequencies $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is rational, $\psi^{*}$ is a continuous periodic function of time (at points where $x_{6}= \pm 1$ it is assumed to equal $\mp I_{2} / 2 I_{1}$ ). Hence $\psi=\lambda t+O(1)$.

Let us consider the case of the irrational ratio $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$. If $I_{1} \mu^{2}<4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, then $\Psi\left(\varphi_{1}\right.$, $\varphi_{2}$ ) is continuous on $T^{2}$, and the proof of the theorem follows from the theorem on averaging [6]. If, however, $I_{1} \mu^{2}>4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we introduce the function

$$
F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \Psi\left(\omega_{1} t+\varphi_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \omega_{2} t+\varphi_{2}\right) d t, \quad \varphi_{2} \in S^{1}, \quad t \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{1}}\right]
$$

To prove its boundedness we again consider the neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of points $a_{i}$ ( $i=$ 1...4). In regions $U_{i}$ in which $x_{6}$ is close to 1 we have the identity

$$
\psi^{\cdot}=2 \varphi^{\cdot}+f, \quad f=-\frac{x_{3}\left(1-6 x_{6}\right)}{4\left(1+x_{6}\right)}
$$

When $m(t) \in U_{i}$, the integral of $f$ with respect to time (since $f$ is continuous in $U_{i}$ ) and the integral of $2 \varphi^{\circ}$ are bounded. The motion of other regions where $x_{8}$ is close to -1 is similarly analyzed. Outside $U_{i}(i=1 \ldots 4)$ function $\Psi$ is bounded and, consequently, the oscillation of $F$ is also bounded. Summarizing the above, we conclude that $F\left(\varphi_{2}, t\right)$ is bounded on $S^{1}\left\{\varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi\right\} \times\left[0,2 \pi / \omega_{1}\right]$. To complete the proof it remains to apply Weyl's theorem on uniform distribution.

Statement 2. If $I_{1} \mu^{2} \neq 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, function $\Psi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ is Lebesgue integrable on $T^{2}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi\right\}$.

Proof. If $I_{1} \mu^{2}<4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, then $\Psi$ is continuous on $T^{2}$, and the statement is evidently correct. If $I_{1} \mu^{2}>4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, function $\Psi$ is continuous everywhere, except at points $a_{1}, \ldots$, $a_{4}$, where $x_{6}{ }^{2}=1$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $\Psi$ is integrable in the small neighborhoods of points $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$. Since $I_{1} \mu^{2} \neq 4 I_{2}{ }^{2}$, it is possible to take $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ as the local coordinates in $U_{i}$. The Jacobian of transformation

$$
\frac{\partial\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right)}
$$

is analytic with respect to $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$. By the formula of substitution of variables we have

$$
\iint_{U_{i}} \Psi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=\iint_{U_{i}} \Psi\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \frac{\partial\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right)} d x_{4} d x_{5}
$$

We use the equality

$$
\Psi=-\frac{x_{3} x_{6}}{4\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}+x_{5}{ }^{2}\right)}
$$

Functions $x_{3}$ and $x_{6}$ are analytic in $U_{i}$ with respect to $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$, and $x_{3}=0$ when $x_{4}=x_{5}=0$. Hence the integrand expressed in terms of $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ is of the form

$$
F=f\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right) /\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}+x_{5}{ }^{2}\right)
$$

where $f$ is an analytic function in $U_{i}$, and $f(0,0)=0$. In polar coordinates $(r, \varphi)$ : $x_{4}=r \cos \varphi, x_{5}=r \sin \varphi$

$$
\iint_{U_{i}} F d x_{4} d x_{5}=\iint_{U_{i}} \frac{f}{r} d r d \varphi
$$

Since $f / r$ is continuous and bounded in the deleted neighborhood of points $a_{i}$, hence $F$ is Lebesgue integrable in region $U_{i}(i-1, ., 4)$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. For small $\mu$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=0
$$

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3. Let the contraction of function $f\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{6}\right)$ onto the invariant torus $T^{2}$ be Lebesgue integrable. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=\oint_{T^{2}} \frac{f}{V_{4}} d \sigma
$$

where $V_{4}$ is the four-dimensional volume of the parallelepiped constructed on vectors $\operatorname{grad} I_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ as its sides, and $d \sigma$ is area element on $T^{2}$ as a surface in $R^{6}\left\{x_{1} \ldots x_{6}\right\}$.

Proof. In some neighborhood of the invariant torus $T^{2}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \bmod 2 \pi\right\}$ in $R^{6}$ it is possible to make the invertible substitution of variables

$$
x_{i}=x_{i}\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}, \Upsilon_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, 6)
$$

When $I_{3}=0$ the equations of motion in new variables $(I, \varphi)$ are of the form

$$
I_{i}^{\cdot}=0, \varphi_{j}=\Phi_{j}\left(I_{1} \ldots I_{4}\right) ; \quad i=1, \ldots, 4 ; j=1,2
$$

These equations have an integral invariant of density

$$
\rho=M \frac{\partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)}{\partial\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)}
$$

where $M$ is the density of the integral invariant in terms of variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$. Since $M \equiv 1$ and $\rho=1$, when $I_{3}=0$, hence in this case

$$
\frac{\partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\theta}\right)}{\partial\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{4}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)}=1
$$

Let us consider vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial I_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial x_{6}}{\partial I_{i}}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, 4) \\
& \eta_{j}=\left(\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{j}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial x_{6}}{\partial \varphi_{j}}\right) \quad(j=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \quad(i, j=1, \ldots, 4) \\
& \left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}, \eta_{k}\right)=0 \quad(i==1, \ldots, 4 ; k=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$, is the Kronecker delta, We represent vectors $\xi_{i}$ in the form $\xi_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\xi_{i}{ }^{\text {e }}$, where $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$ is orthogonal to $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, and $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ can be expanded in terms of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{6}\left(\xi_{1} \ldots \xi_{4} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}\right)=\left|\frac{\partial\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{6}\right)}{\partial\left(I_{1} \ldots I_{4}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)}\right|=V_{4}\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}\right) V_{2}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{n}\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ denotes the $n$-dimensional volume of the parallelepiped constructed on vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ as its sides. Since again

$$
\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}, \xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)==\delta_{i j}
$$

hence

$$
V_{4}\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}\right) V_{4}\left(\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

Taking into account (3.1), we obtain

$$
V_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}\right)=V_{2}\left(\eta_{j}\right)
$$

and, since by definition of the area element $d \sigma=V_{2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}$, hence

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{f V_{2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)}{V_{4}\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}\right)} d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=\oint_{T^{2}} \frac{f}{V_{4}} d \sigma
$$

The lemma is proved.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let us consider the transformation $\pi: R^{6} \rightarrow R^{6}$ defined by the formula $y=\pi(x)$, where $x=\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{6}\right)$ and $y=\left(-x_{1}-x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}\right.$ $x_{6}$ ). The mapping of $\pi$, a linear orthogonal transformation, is the product of three mirror images relative to the coordinate hyperplanes. When $\mu$ is small, each of the two in variant tori, which constitute the common level of integrals, transforms into itself (see the proof of Lemma 1).

Since $\pi: T^{2} \rightarrow T^{2}$ retains its area, the Jacobian of that transformation is equalunity and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{T_{2}} \frac{\Psi(\pi(x))}{V_{4}(\pi(x))} d \sigma=\oint_{T^{2}} \frac{\Psi(x)}{V_{4}(x)} d \sigma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gramme's formula

$$
V_{4}\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{k}\right)=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{grad} I_{i}, \operatorname{grad} I_{j}\right)} \quad(i, j, k=1, \ldots, 4)
$$

With the use of this formula it is possible to prove that $V_{4}(\pi(x))=V_{4}(x)$. Since $\Psi(\pi(x))=-\Psi(x)$, formula (3.2) yields the equality

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=\oint_{T 2} \frac{\Psi}{V_{4}} d \sigma=0
$$

The theorem is proved.
Corollary. If $\mu$ is small and the ratio of frequencies $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ irrational, the principal motion of the line of nodes is zero, since by the theorem on uniform distribution $[6,12]$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}=\lambda=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) d \varphi_{1} d \varphi_{2}=0
$$

The author thanks V. V. Rumiantsev and $I u$. A. Arkhangel'skii for their interest in this work.
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